

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>		<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	09/991,876		OHSUGE, MICHIIHIRO	
	<b>Examiner</b>		<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Sudhanshu C. Pathak		2634	

**All Participants:**

(1) Sudhanshu C. Pathak.

(2) David A. Blumenthal.

**Date of Interview:** 26 January 2006

**Type of Interview:**

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant    ☐ Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:**    ☐ Yes    ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Status of Application:** Allowed

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Time:** 11am

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

No

Claims discussed:

Yes

Prior art documents discussed:

No

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*The supplemental amendment dated December 13<sup>th</sup>, 2005 has been accepted and claims, with minor corrections as approved by the SPE and the above mentioned attorney, 4-5, 8-9, 12, 15-16 are allowed.*


  

**Part III.**

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



\_\_\_\_\_  
(Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)